



WOMEN OF COLOR FACULTY RETREAT RATIONALE

I. Executive Summary

The WellAcademic Women of Color Faculty Retreat is a two-night professional development training program for approximately 15 to 25 women of color faculty members from various institutions across the United States. The aim of the retreat is to help women of color faculty thrive in their work-life using empirically supported techniques shown to decrease stress and increase productivity, focus, and well-being. Using a mentor-coach model of development, attendees learn skills that are applicable to all aspects of their professional roles, including research, teaching, service, and administrative/faculty leadership.

II. Retreat Rationale

Higher education leaders nationally understand that a racially and ethnically diverse faculty enriches the university community and students' educational experience. Faculty of color, however, remain underrepresented. This underrepresentation is particularly problematic for women of color faculty (WOCF) on the tenure track, as shown below.

Table 1. Percent of women of color faculty compared to total faculty at each tenure track rank

Tenure-Track Rank	Black	Latinx/ Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/Alaskan Native
Assistant Professor	2%	1%	3%	0.1%
Associate Professor	3%	2%	5%	0.2%
Full Professor	3%	3%	6%	0.2%

Source: US Department of Education, 2018

The scarcity of WOCF in the tenure ranks has been consistently linked to recruitment, retention, and progression barriers (See Turner, Gonzalez, & Wong, 2011). Although better recruitment of WOCF is important, such efforts are undermined if there is limited institutional support for success once these faculty become part of the university community (Moreno, Smith, Clayton-Pedersen, Parker, & Teraguchi, 2006). Moreover, the support that is available needs to address the stressful barriers WOCF face due to their unique location at the nexus of racialized and gendered oppression (Turner, 2002).



The typical barriers identified in research with WOCF, include: isolation, marginalization, tokenism, excessive service/caretaking expectations, student opposition and hostility, devaluing/undervaluing of research, and experiences of gendered racism and racialized sexism (Monzó & SooHoo, 2014; Tuitt, Hanna, Martinez, Salazar, & Griffin, 2009; Turner, Gonzalez, & Wood, 2008; Turner, Gonzalez, & Wong, 2011). Even those WOCF that succeed, as measured by earning tenure and promotion, express doing so at the price of their health, well-being, relationships, motivation, and connection to the institution (Diggs, Garrison-Wade, Estrada, & Galindo, 2009; Kelly & McCann, 2013).

The silver lining to this bleak picture is the growing body of evidence that suggests mentoring can help underrepresented groups, including WOCF, better navigate barriers by increasing “access, persistence, advancement, and career success” (Zambrana, Ray, Espino, Castro, Cohen, & Eliason, 2015, p. 42; also see Diggs, Garrison-Wade, Estrada, & Galindo, 2009; Monzó & SooHoo, 2014; Turner, 2003; and Turner, Gonzalez, & Wood, 2008). The keys to the effectiveness of mentoring opportunities are the extent to which they: (1) focus specifically on the distinctive experiences of WOCF, which are similar to *and* different from those of men of color and White women faculty; (2) provide culturally appropriate strategies to combat individual, institutional, and structural barriers to professional success and well-being; and (3) foster a sense of social acceptance, support, community, and inclusion (Diggs et al.; Evans & Cokley, 2008; Kelly & McCann, 2013; Monzó & SooHoo, 2014; Piercy, 2005; Thomas, 2001; Zambrana et al.).

Finding competent mentors, however, is not easy. Data show WOCF are less likely than men of color and White women faculty to have mentors, and, when mentored, are more likely to experience ineffective mentoring that significantly impedes their career progression (Thomas, 2001; Zambrana et al., 2015). This disconnect highlights the need for institutional investment in culturally effective mentoring programs that focus on the experiences of WOCF. Unfortunately, the low numbers of WOCF in most institutions make this difficult, if not impossible, to implement internally. The lack of external opportunities also hinders the ability of WOCF to benefit from professional development mentoring outside their institution. Addressing the vital need for additional mentoring support structures for WOCF motivated the development of this retreat.

III. WellAcademic Women of Color Faculty Retreats

We use a three-pronged approach to mentoring in our retreats that incorporates *group mentoring* from Dr. Roxanne Donovan, a clinical psychologist, professor of psychology, and expert on health and wellness in women of color; *targeted individual coaching* from Dr. Joycelyn Moody, distinguished professor of English and experienced writing and productivity coach; and *peer-mentoring/networking* opportunities.



Serving as a supplement to existing on-campus resources for WOCF, the retreat offers approximately 14 hours of programming developed to meet the following objectives:

1. Decrease stress and increase productivity, advancement, focus, and work-life balance through the practice of scientifically informed, culturally sensitive techniques (e.g., goal setting, emotion regulation, and mindfulness). Because these techniques teach how to approach academic work in ways that maximize positive outcomes, they are applicable to WOCF's advancement in all areas of professional life—teaching, research, service, and leadership.
2. Provide a supportive “sister circle” where participants can discuss their challenges in the academy. This sharing is central to reducing frustration, shame, and self-blame, common responses to the stressors WOCF face that negatively impact productivity, institutional engagement, and health.
3. Facilitate connections among participants, particularly those from different departments and colleges. These connections are the building blocks of a WOCF peer-mentoring community that can help sustain the growth and health of participants post-retreat.

IV. Institution and Participant Data

Faculty from a variety of institutions, from liberal arts colleges to research intensive universities, are represented at these retreats, including:

- Brown University
- Lehigh University
- Southern Illinois University
- Spelman College
- University of Cincinnati
- University of Connecticut, Storrs
- University of Houston Downtown
- University of Massachusetts Amherst
- University of Massachusetts Boston
- University of Missouri-Columbia
- University of North Carolina, Wilmington
- University of Texas, San Antonio
- University of Wisconsin-Madison
- Virginia Commonwealth University



Participants represent all academic ranks, which adds to the richness of the peer-mentoring aspect of the retreat. The breakdown from our most recent retreat was:

- 43% Assistant Professors
- 24% Associate Professors
- 19% Full Professors and/or Administrators (Chair level and above)
- 14% Other (e.g., non-tenure track faculty)

For more information about the retreat, please contact Dr. Roxanne Donovan at Roxanne@WellAcademic.com or 770-405-9554. Detailed retreat information can also be found at <https://www.wellacademic.com/retreats.html>.



References

- Diggs, G. A., Garrison-Wade, D. F., Estrada, D., & Galindo, R. (2009). Smiling faces and colored spaces: The experiences of faculty of color pursuing tenure in the academy. *Urban Rev, 41*, 312-333.
- Evans, G. L., & Cokley, K. (2008). African American women and the academy: Using career mentoring to increase research productivity. *Training and Education in Professional Psychology, 2*, 50-57.
- Kelly, B. T., & McCann, K. (2013). Women faculty of color: Success stories from the margins. *Journal of the International Association for the Study of the Global Achievement Gap*. Book 10.
- Monzo, L. D., & SooHoo, S. (2014). Translating the academy: Learning the racialized languages of academia. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education, 7*, 147-165.
- Moreno, J. F., Smith, D. G., Clayton-Pedersen, A. R., Parker, S., & Teraguchi, D. H. (2006). The revolving door for underrepresented minority faculty in higher education: An analysis from the Campus Diversity Initiative. *Insight*. Retrieved from <https://folio.iupui.edu/handle/10244/50>
- Piercy, F., Giddings, V., Allen, K., Dixon, B., Meszaros, P. & Joest K. (2005). Improving campus climate to support faculty diversity and retention: A pilot program for new faculty. *Innovative Higher Education, 30*, 53-66.
- Thomas, G. D. (2001). Resisting from the margins: The coping strategies of Black women and other women of color faculty members at a research university. *Journal of Negro Education, 70*, 166-175.
- Tuitt, F., Hanna, M., Martinez, L. M., Salazar, M., & Griffin, R. (2009). Teaching in the line of fire: Faculty of color in the academy. *Thought & Action, Fall*, 65-74.
- Turner, C. S. (2002). Women of color in academe: Living with multiple marginality. *The Journal of Higher Education, 73*, 74-93.
- Turner, C. S. (2003). Incorporation and marginalization in the academy: From border toward center for faculty of color. *Journal of Black Studies, 34*, 112-125.



Turner, C. S. V., González, J. C., & Wong, K. (2011). Faculty women of color: The critical nexus of race and gender. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education, 4*, 199-211.

Turner, C. S. V., González, J. C., & Wood J. L. (2008). Faculty of color in academe: What 20 years of literature tells us. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education, 3*, 139-168.

U.S. Department of Education (2018). National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS). Retrieved from https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d18/tables/dt18_315.20.asp

Zambrana, R. E., Ray, R., Espino, M. M., Castro, C., Cohen, B. D., & Eliason, J. (2015). “Don’t leave us behind”: The importance of mentoring for underrepresented minority faculty. *American Educational Research Journal, 52*, 40-72.